UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of Missouri

United States of America V. Calvin Dwayne Sangtser Date of Original Judgment: Date of Previous Amended Judgment: (Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Any)) Case No: 4:07CR410 HEA) USM No: 34301-044 07) Lucille G. Liggett Defendant's Attorney							
ORDER REGARDING MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)								
Upon motion of the defendant the § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisons subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by	Director of the Bureau of Prisons the court under 18 U.S.C. ment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. aking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10							
DENIED. GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of months is reduced to								
(Complete Parts I d	and II of Page 2 when motion is granted)							
Except as otherwise provided, all provisions of the j IT IS SO ORDERED. Order Date: 01/09/2012	shall remain in effect. Shall remain in effect. Judge's signature							
Effective Date: (if different from order date)	Henry Edward Autrey, U.S. District Judge Printed name and title							

This page contains information that should not be filed in court unless under seal. (Not for Public Disclosure)

	Cal	vin Dwayne	Sangtser			
DEFENDANT:				_		
CASE NUMBER:	4:07CR410 HE	A				
DISTRICT:	Eastern Distric	t of Missou	ri			
		F GUIDEI	LINE RANG	GE (Prior to Any Departures)		
Previous Total Offe				Amended Total Offense Level:		
Criminal History C				Criminal History Category:		-
Previous Guideline	Range:	to	months	Amended Guideline Range:	to	month:
The reduced s The previous t time of senten is comparably	entence is within term of imprisonn	the amende nent impose f a substanti ended guide	d guideline in the discount of	nan the guideline range applicable departure or Rule 35 reduction,		
III ADDITIONA	I COMMENTS	•				

III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

As a career offender, defendant is not eligible for a sentence reduction.